

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword	13
1. The social history of Basque: SHB in the international context	15
1.1. SHB: the database	16
1.2. SHB: Taxonomy for the social history of Basque and minority languages	17
2. Socio-historical setting.....	21
2.1. When.....	23
2.1.1. Characteristics of the documents which have to be dated.....	25
2.1.2. Measurement patterns chosen by SHB.....	25
2.2. Type and quantity of speakers	26
2.2.1. Social attributes	26
2.2.2. Proportion and number of speakers	31
2.2.3. Summary of terms	33
2.3. Geographical position.....	33
2.3.1. Geo-linguistic position	34
2.3.2. Administrative demarcation.....	34
2.3.2.1. Civil demarcation	35
2.3.2.2. Religious demarcation.....	35
2.3.2.3. Other.....	36
2.4. Ecological demarcation	36
2.4.1. Sedentary lifestyle	37
2.4.1.1. Sedentary lifestyle without noticeable migratory movement	37
2.4.1.2. Sedentary lifestyle with migratory movement	38
2.4.2. Mobile lifestyle.....	39
2.4.3. Urban/rural dichotomy	40
2.4.4. <i>Ager/saltus</i> dichotomy	40
2.5. Socio-functional position.....	41
2.5.1. Domain	41
2.5.2. Role relationships	45
2.5.3. Language status	47
3. General structure of sociolinguistic classification	49
3.1. The dimensions of SHB: an overall perspective.....	50
3.1.1. Dimension A: language use	51
3.1.2. Dimension B: language competence	52
3.1.3. Dimension C: language structure	54

3.1.4. Dimension D: societal features.....	55
3.1.5. Dimension E: language opinions, attitudes and behaviours..	57
3.1.6. Summary of the dimensions	61
3.2. SHB's analytical parameters: overview.....	61
3.2.1. The descriptive (or first) analytical parameter.....	61
3.2.2. The kinetic (or second) analytical parameter	62
3.2.3. The dynamic (or third) analytical parameter	63
3.2.4. The prospective (or fourth) analytical parameter	64
3.2.5. The contrastive (or fifth) analytical parameter	64
3.2.6. The prescriptive (or sixth) analytical parameter.....	65
3.2.7. Summary of the analytical parameters	66
3.3. SHB's matrix or explanatory scheme	67
3.3.1. The limits of the matrix	70
3.3.1.1. Systemic complexity	70
3.3.1.2. The complexity paradigm and the SHB matrix.....	72
4. Descriptive parameter	75
4.1. 1A - Describing language use	76
4.1.1. General, undetermined (along with language behaviour)	81
4.1.2. Describing language use without language contact.....	85
4.1.3. Describing language use with some kind of language contact.	86
4.1.3.1. Extent of stability of language contact situation	87
4.1.3.2. Diglossia.....	87
4.1.3.3. Language conflict	88
4.1.4. Language use related dominance configuration table.....	91
4.1.5. Reason for 1A.....	94
4.1.6. Summary of terms	94
4.2. 1B - Describing language competence	95
4.2.1. Speaker's linguistic repertoire	95
4.2.2. Level of language competence in Basque and other languages...	96
4.2.3. Language competence related dominance configuration table...	99
4.2.4. Language competence acquisition mode in Basque and other languages	100
4.2.5. Language competence loss mode in Basque or other languages	102
4.2.6. Reason for 1B	102
4.3. 1C - Describing language structure	103
4.3.1. Data derived from language structure	104
4.3.1.1. Global description	104
4.3.1.2. Result of language contact	105
4.3.1.3. Internal uniformity of language.....	107
4.3.1.4. Power and solidarity indices.....	108

4.3.1.5. Significant source (onomastics, paremiology and etymology)	108
4.3.1.6. Other	109
4.3.2. Reason for 1C	109
4.4. 1D - Describing societal features.....	109
4.4.1. Data relating to societal features	109
4.4.1.1. General, undetermined	110
4.4.1.2. Demographic features.....	110
4.4.1.3. Econotechnical features.....	111
4.4.1.4. Political-operative features	111
4.4.1.5. Psychosocial and sociocultural features	112
4.4.2. Reason for 1D	114
4.5. 1E - Describing language attitudes	114
4.5.1. Attitude about what?.....	115
4.5.1.1. Language use: A	115
4.5.1.2. Speakers and their language competence: B	115
4.5.1.3. Languages: C.....	115
4.5.1.4. Ethnicity: D	116
4.5.1.5. Language attitudes: E	116
4.5.1.6. Other	116
4.5.2. Reason for 1E	116
5. Kinetic parameter.....	117
5.1. 2A - Change in language use	118
5.1.1. Type of comparison	124
5.1.2. Evolution of language use	125
5.1.2.1. Death of language other than Basque.....	126
5.1.2.2. Increase of the use of Basque	126
5.1.2.3. Maintenance of the (non) use of Basque	126
5.1.2.4. Decline in the use of Basque	126
5.1.2.5. Death of Basque	127
5.1.2.6. Evolution of language use among languages other than Basque	127
5.1.3. Diglossia	127
5.1.4. Evolution of language use related dominance configuration table	127
5.2. 2B - Change in language competence	128
5.2.1. Type of comparison	128
5.2.2. Evolution in the speaker's linguistic repertoire	128
5.2.3. Evolution of language competence.....	128
5.2.3.1. Improving language competence.....	129
5.2.3.2. Maintaining language competence.....	129

5.2.3.3. Decrease in language competence.....	129
5.2.3.4. Complete loss of language competence	129
5.2.4. Evolution of route to acquiring language competence	129
5.2.5. Evolution of route to loss of language competence.....	130
5.2.6. Evolution of language competence related dominance configuration table.....	130
5.3. 2C - Change in language structure.....	131
5.3.1. Data derived from evolution (occurring) in language structure ...	131
5.4. 2D - Change in societal features.....	131
5.4.1. Evolution in societal features	132
5.5. 2E - Change in language attitudes	132
6. Dynamic parameter.....	133
6.0. Relationships between language and society.....	133
6.0.1. Types of dislocation.....	134
6.0.1.1. Physical and demographic dislocation	134
6.0.1.2. Social dislocation	136
6.0.1.3. Cultural dislocation	136
6.0.1.4. A phenomenon that involves more than one type of dislocation: urbanization	137
6.0.2. How SHB deals with the dynamic parameter.....	138
6.0.2.1. Basic explanation of cells on the dynamic parameter..	139
6.0.2.2. Basic structure of cells on the dynamic parameter.....	141
6.0.2.3. How to assign relationships to cells	142
6.0.2.4. Detailed explanation of sources of change in the social matrix.....	146
6.1. 3A - Dynamics of change in language use.....	147
6.1.1. Relationship between dimensions	147
6.1.2. Detailed source of change - D	147
6.2. 3B - Dynamics of change in language competence.....	147
6.2.1. Relationship between dimensions	147
6.3. 3C - Dynamics of change in language structure, 3D - Dynamics of change in societal features, 3E - Dynamics of change in language attitudes.....	148
7. Prospective parameter.....	149
7.1. 4A - Expected future language use	150
7.2. 4B - Expected future language competence	150
7.3. 4C - Expected future language structure	151
7.4. 4D - Expected future societal features.....	151
7.5. 4E - Expected future language attitudes	152

8. Contrastive parameter	153
8.0. Measuring the contrast.....	153
8.0.1. Detailed points of contrast.....	153
8.0.2. Cells on the contrastive parameter.....	154
8.1. 5A, 5B, 5C, 5D, 5E. Structure of cells on the contrastive parameter.....	154
9. Prescriptive parameter	155
9.1. 6A - Language status planning	156
9.1.1. Socio-philosophical underpinnings	156
9.1.2. Degree of overtness	157
9.1.3. Goal of language planning.....	159
9.1.4. Stage of language planning.....	159
9.1.5. Actor	162
9.1.6. Directionality: top-down/bottom-up.....	162
9.1.7. Target group.....	162
9.1.8. Opinion on status planning.....	163
9.1.9. Reason for 6A.....	163
9.2. 6B - Language acquisition planning	163
9.2.1. Goal of language planning.....	164
9.2.1.1. Planning of speaker's linguistic repertoire	165
9.2.1.2. Language proficiency requirement.....	165
9.2.1.3. Language acquisition planning.....	165
9.3. 6C - Language corpus planning	165
9.3.1. Socio-philosophical underpinnings	167
9.3.2. Goal of language planning.....	167
9.3.2.1. Purifying language	167
9.3.2.2. Naturalising interference	168
9.3.2.3. Standardising language.....	168
9.3.2.4. Language codification	168
9.3.2.5. Developing intertranslatability	169
9.3.2.6. Language cultivation	169
9.3.2.7. Abstand/Ausbau.....	170
9.4. 6D - Planning for societal features	170
9.5. 6E - Planning for language attitudes.....	170
10. Data strength, reliability and other features of quotations.....	173
10.1. Data strength.....	173
10.1.0. General statements.....	173
10.1.0.1. Explicit data yes/no	174
10.1.0.2. Breadth of applicability	175
10.1.0.3. Is data conditioned to the writer's interests?	176

10.1.0.4. Is the source original?.....	176
10.1.0.5. To what extent can data be checked?	176
10.1.0.6. Has the data been useful?	176
10.1.0.7. Option adopted by SHB	177
10.1.1. Closeness to source.....	177
10.1.2. Strength of evidence	179
10.1.3. Relevance to research	180
10.2. Features of quotation	180
10.2.1. Monograph.....	180
10.2.2. Nature of quotation.....	180
10.2.3. Language mentioned in quotation	180
10.2.4. Language of quotation.....	181
11. SHB's sources	183
11.1. Sources for historical sociolinguistics	183
11.2. Dealing with archive materials	186
11.2.1. Collecting Basque texts in archives.....	187
11.2.2. Collecting indirect testimony.....	188
11.2.3. A practical example: archive information about Zestoa ..	188
Conclusion	193
Bibliography	195
Appendix: Taxonomy for the Social History of Basque - list of concepts ..	245